



Dofinansowane przez
Unię Europejską

PROPER

PROBABILITY AROUND US

PROBABILITY FOR EVERYONE

Transnational meeting 1

Evaluation report



PROPER
PROBABILITY AROUND US
PROBABILITY FOR EVERYONE





Co-funded by
the European Union



Project title: **PROPER – Probability around us – Probability for everyone**

Reference number: **2023-1-PL01-KA210-SCH-000164029**

Implementation period: **01.09.2023 – 30.09.2024**

EVALUATION REPORT
PROPER project TPM 1
05.12.2023 – 08.12.2023
KRAKÓW (PL)



PROPER
PROBABILITY AROUND US
PROBABILITY FOR EVERYONE



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Evaluation purpose and Methodology	4
Findings	5
Content and work in the meeting	5
Organisation of the Meeting	9
The current status of the project	11
Concerns (Open questions)	14
Conclusions and Recommendations	15
Annex - Questions in the Evaluation form	16

INTRODUCTION

The transnational meeting took place in Krakow on 05.12.2023 until 08.12.2023, hosted by the coordinator of the PROPER project - University of the National Education Commission, Krakow.

Meeting took place in university building with representatives from each partner organization present:

Organization	Country	Attendee
University of the National Education Commission, Krakow	Poland	1. Ireneusz Krech 2. Marek Janasz 3. Sylwia Słomka
South Bohemia University in Ceske Budejovice	Czech Republic	1. Pavel Tlustý
Zespół Szkół Technicznych i Placówek w Nowym Targu	Poland	1. Katarzyna Smosna 2. Anna Konopka
Gymnasium Pierra de Coubertina v Tabore	Czech Republic	1. Eva Novotná 2. Petr Nyvlt 3. Marek Novotný

Since it was a kick-off meeting, it was very important for the partners to get to know each other, to agree on the action plans, and set the milestones.

The meeting was organized according to a previously designed Agenda, known by the participants two weeks prior the meeting, and it discussed in details the realization and work plans of Results.



EVALUATION PURPOSE and METHODOLOGY

This evaluation report on the meeting will provide important information about its quality and these results will be compared to the qualitative and quantitative indicators set in the project proposal. Moreover, the partners will be able to reflect on the initial goals, to improve their performance, and provide detailed feedback in future.

The [evaluation form](#) was designed in Google Forms and sent to the partnership via email. There are 7 responses from 4 partner organizations.

Organization	Country	Responders
University of the National Education Commission, Krakow	Poland	1
South Bohemia University in Ceske Budejovice	Czech Republic	1
Zespół Szkół Technicznych i Placówek w Nowym Targu	Poland	2
Gymnasium Pierra de Coubertina v Tabore	Czech Republic	3

The form is structured in 4 different sections, each covering questions relevant to the meeting itself and to the project in general:



1. Content and work in the meeting
2. Organisation of the Meeting
3. The current status of the project
4. Concerns (open questions)






FINDINGS

The 7 responses to the evaluation form were analyzed and the statistical and descriptive findings are shown as follows:


CONTENT and WORK in the MEETING









**I understand much better the tasks ahead and
the role of my institution/ organization in the
future activities**



**The upcoming timeline and milestones were
clearly presented**




**The information given helped me better
understand the Activities of the project and the
linkage with the Intellectual Outputs**






The information given helped me better understand the interactions and links between the different activities





The information given helped me better understand the further time framework and deadlines to be respected by all the partners






ORGANISATION of the MEETING










The social programme and the meals were good
opportunities to get to know many colleagues
better







THE CURRENT STATUTS of the PROJECT









CONCERNS (open question)

Any other comments?:

7 odpowiedzi

Thank you for project. Petr

None

no

No

Projekty jak ten niesamowicie rozwijają ucznia i nauczyciela. Kontakt z wyższą uczelnią oraz przypomnienie zagadnień specjalistycznych w danej ścieżce jest bardzo wartościowe. Międzynarodowa wymiana doświadczeń jest też z mocną stroną projektu. Kolejny raz również wzięłabym udział w tego typu projekcie międzynarodowym.

N/A



CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results and data visualization of the evaluation form responses we can conclude that the meeting was successful, and the participants were satisfied. The partners understood the next actions to be made related to the realization of the Results.

This QAC report with evaluation of an average of 9/10 complies with the indicators that are set in the project proposal related to the meetings.

In order to get feedback that is more detailed, relevant results and information that is more precise we recommend each attendee on the meeting to fill in the evaluation questionnaires.



ANNEX

Questions in the Evaluation form

Criteria for assessment of the level of satisfaction :

(1 = I totally disagree, 2 = I disagree, 3 = I agree, 4 = I totally agree)

1. Content and work in the meeting

- The agenda of the meeting was very well balanced focusing on all key aspects of the project
- The meeting reached its objectives
- The discussions were constructive and focused on concrete solutions
- Everybody had ample opportunities to express themselves
- The meeting enabled me to understand better the challenges faced by the other countries
- I understand much better the tasks ahead and the role of my institution/ organization in the future activities
- The upcoming timeline and milestones were clearly presented

The information given helped me better understand...

- a) The Activities of the project and the linkage with the Intellectual Outputs
- b) The interactions and links between the different activities
- c) The further time framework and deadlines to be respected by all the partners
- d) The contribution of each partner as to the horizontal activities
- e) The deliverables each partner has to produce or to contribute to going forward

2. Organisation of the Meeting

- The meeting was very well organized
- The participants received well on time all information
- The meeting room and its facilities facilitated the work during the meeting
- The social programme and the meals were good opportunities to get to know many colleagues better.



3. The current status of the project

- The products/ outputs already produced/ identified are contributing to the Aims and Objectives
- The products/ outputs already produced/ identified are in the context of the work plan and in agreement to the proposed timetable
- The obstacles/ risks identified up to this point have been handled adequately
- The management of the budget up to this point is reasonable
- The overall management of the project is adequate
- The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) on the part of my institution are adequate and satisfactory
- The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) by all the partners in the project have been acknowledged adequately
- The evidence I have concerning the impact of the expected results is satisfactory

4. Concerns (open questions - fill in what is relevant for you)

- Any other comments?:
- Thank you for your answers!